

Australian Government

**Department of the Environment and Energy** 

## The Emissions Reduction Fund Opportunities for Agriculture

**CRSPI Partner Collaboration Forum – 4 September 2019** 



## What I'll talk about

- <u>Context</u>: Purpose and performance of the Emissions Reduction Fund
- <u>Integrity</u>: Offsets integrity standards and the Emissions Reduction Assurance Committee
- <u>Methods</u>: scoping, development and review
- Opportunities and R&D
- <u>Partnering</u> with the Department

## **ERF policy context and performance**

**Purpose:** To help Australia meet international climate commitments.

#### **Two critical parts:**

- 1. Crediting the creation of Australian carbon credit units or ACCUs
- 2. Purchasing the buying of ACCUs
  - Government: \$2.55b (2014) + \$2b (2019)
  - Private : Voluntary / safeguard compliance

Land and agriculture projects represent more than 80 per cent of all contracted abatement

	Vegetation	125.7	million tonnes
1	Landfill and waste	25.3	million tonnes
	Agriculture	18.1	million tonnes
	Savanna burning	13.6	million tonnes
9	Energy efficiency	5.2	million tonnes
$\bigcirc$	Industrial fugitives	2.9	million tonnes
	Transport	1.2	million tonnes
Portfolio of a poillic			

abatement

# Integrity

- Integrity is a must for international, policy and market confidence.
- Offsets integrity standards legislated standards that all methods must meet.
- Emissions Reduction Assurance Committee – independent expert committee that ensure OIS are met.

#### **OFFSETS INTEGRITY STANDARDS**



#### Is the activity beyond business as usual?

Is the abatement unlikely to occur in the ordinary course of events?



#### Can the emissions reductions be measured and verified?

Can estimates be accurately measured and are they capable of being verified?



#### Is the abatement eligible?

Does the method align with Australia's greenhouse gas inventory approaches and international reporting obligations?



#### Is it supported by evidence?

Is the method supported by clear and convincing evidence?



#### Are material emissions from the activity deducted?

Are emissions that would occur as a result of the activity deducted when working out the estimated abatement from the project?

## 1

#### Are the estimates conservative?

Is there evidence to demonstrate estimates, projections and assumptions are conservative?

## **Methods**

- **Prioritisation:** Minister determines priorities according to a range of factors.
- Scoping: Department led, with other experts.
- **Development:** Department led, with other experts
- **Review:** ERAC, Department, with public consultation

#### **METHOD PRIORITISATION QUESTIONS**



What is the potential uptake of the emissions reduction activity and the likely volume of abatement? Is the activity cost effective, what is the level of business support for the activity, and what is the potential volume of abatement from the activity?



Is the activity ready? Is the technology proven and commercially ready?



Can emissions reductions be estimated with a reasonable degree of certainty and at an acceptable cost? How straightforward is the approach to estimating emissions reductions?



#### Are there any adverse impacts? Could the activity have adverse social, environmental or economic impacts?



## Could the activity be promoted more efficiently through other measures?

Is there another method, other mechanism or government program better suited to the activity?

# Agriculture sector opportunities

- Strong on-going participation in emissions management in soils and vegetation.
- Around 75% of agricultural emissions are from livestock, mostly cattle.
- Alternative feeds and feed supplements show promise + can boost productivity.
- R&D needs to:
  - Be focused, not spread too thinly
  - Identify dose responses for feed supplements
  - Identify implementation techniques
  - Address commercialisation challenges

Cattle

Sheep

Swine

Other



## **Partnering with the Department**

- Pursuing new abatement opportunities requires multiple lines of support.
- There are a few ducks to line up for efficient progress towards realisation of opportunities:
  - **Policy**: the ERF legislates a process. Integrity is central.
  - Science: Forms critical link in the integrity chain + offsets integrity standards.
  - **Economics**: For uptake, we need to persuade the end users.
- Carbon farming and beyond.



#### Australian Government

#### Department of the Environment and Energy

#### Sam Wagstaff

Agriculture Section Climate Change Division Sam.Wagstaff@environment.gov.au

Australian Government	
Austranan Government and Energy Department of the Environment and Energy	
and the permitted	and the second
STREAM ST	
A CALL AND A	
TOWNERS IN THE TOWNER BUT	
Making methods under the	- Lintion Fund
	Emissions Reduction Fund
Making methods under the	Littles
	Offsets integrity standards The Emissions Reduction Assurance Committee must be satisfied The Emission Reduction Assurance of the standard base been met for a method to be made
The Minister for Energy and Emissions Reduction determines the priority activities and methods for development under the Emissions and the protorties are informed by a set of questions that the protorties are informed by a set of questions that the protorties are informed by a set of questions that the protorties are informed by a set of questions that the protorties are informed by a set of questions that the protorties are informed by a set of questions that the protorties are informed by a set of questions that the protorties are informed by a set of questions that the protorties are informed by the protorties are informed by a set of questions that the protorties are informed by the protorties are informed by a set of questions that the protorties are informed by the protorties a	The Emissions Reduction Assurance Committee must be satisfied each offset integrity standard has been met for a method to be made
priority activities and entorities are informed by a set of quarter out	
priority activities and memory and the informed by a set of questions used Reduction Fund. The proteinties are informed by a set of questions used are outlined in the Emissions Reduction Fund White Paper, and set out below. Methods are hegidative instruments. They define what activities are solved as a set of the set of the set of the set	Is the activity beyond business as usual? Is the abatement unlikely to occur in the ordinary course
below. Methods are exponented its, and how emissions reductions and	Is the abatement unnacty to
measured, verified and reprint to	
measured, version and the Department of the Environment and Energy to It is the role of the Department scopes the activity, works develop these methods. The Department scopes the activity, works	Con artimates be accurately the
develop these methods try, technical experts, and potential end and	being verified:
the methods. The methods committee on whether proposed	S the abatement eligible? Does the method align with Anstralia's greenhouse gas inventory does the method align by the second se
	approaches and miterina
in the Carbon Creater, and unless the committee the	Is it supported by evidence? Is the method supported by clear and convincing evidence?
the offsets integrity statute	Is the method supported of the activity deducted? Are material emissions from the activity deducted?
Method prioritisation questions	Are material emissions from the activity deducted Are emissions that would occur as a result of the activity deducted Are emissions that would occur as a result of the activity deducted
	when working our
The Minister considers inter que activities for method development.	Are the estimates conservative? Is there evidence to demonstrate estimates, projections and
What is the potential uptake of the emissions reduction with a start of the law of the start of	to there evidence to demonstrate
What is the pole- activity and the likely volume of abatement? Is the activity cost effective, what is the level of business apport for the activity, and what is the potential volume of abatement	
for the activity, and what is the pro- from the activity?	
	Other matters considered when accurry a
	Other matters contained Are there hazards associated with the activity? Will the method pose risks to people, such as work health and
inclose reductions be estimated with a reasonant	
Can emissions reductions be estimated with degree of certainty and at an acceptable coat? How straightforward is the approach to estimating emission	a method need additional support to
reductions:	Would the potential interview be effective? Are any tools, calculators or models needed to operationalise the
Are there any adverse impacts? Could the activity have adverse social, environmental or	proposed methods.
Could the activity have adverse	the share other benefits to the activity?
Could the activity be promoted more efficiently throug	Does the potential method pro-
other measures.	Is the potential method practical and cost effective?
Is there another method, other method, program better mitted to the activity?	Will the potential minute Clean Energy Regulator be able to
0.0	project participation the method?
4) Commonwealth of Australia, 2019.	practically in t